



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/737,272	12/15/2003	Koenraad F. Van Schuylenbergh	D/A3601	6190

7590 05/16/2005

Patent Documentation Center
Xerox Corporation
Xerox Square 20th Floor
100 Clinton Ave. S.
Rochester, NY 14644

EXAMINER

GILMAN, ALEXANDER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2833

DATE MAILED: 05/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SM

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/737,272	Applicant(s) VAN SCHUYLENBERGH ET AL	
	Examiner Alexander D. Gilman	Art Unit 2833	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 February 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 02/28/2005
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 12 recites "the spring tip remaining within 10 degrees of a plane parallel to the substrate plane".

This phrase cannot be interpreted.

Because of the 112 problem, the claim are not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1- 3, 5-11, 13-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Beroz et al(US 6,361,959) .

With regard to claims 1, 15, 17, 22, Beroz et al(US 6,361,959) disclose (Fig. 5a, 6a, 8, 23)) an electrical circuit interconnect comprising:

an anchor portion (141) coupled to a substrate in a substrate plane',

a release portion(140) including a first end coupled to the anchor portion, the

release portion including at least one in-plane curve (Fig. 5, a, 23), the release portion further

including a release line (col. 18, lines 33-36) where an uplift portion of the release portion begins to curve out of the plane of the substrate', and,

a spring tip (38, 139) coupled to a second end of the release portion, the spring tip

Art Unit: 2833

oriented where a direction of maximal curvature of the spring tip (the vertical plane coplanar with the horizontal direction of the tip's curvature being perpendicular to the release line . lies in a plane approximately perpendicular to the release line.

With regard to claim 2, Beroz et al(US 6,361,959) disclose that the release portion is released from the substrate such that an internal stress gradient (col. 10, lines 13-34) in the uplift portion causes the uplift portion to curve out of the plane of the substrate.

With regard to claim 3, Beroz et al(US 6,361,959) disclose that the plurality

of in plane curves in the uplift portion subtends an angle that totals approximately zero degrees (Fig. 5a)

With regard to claim 5, Beroz et al(US 6,361,959) disclose that the anchor portions

of the electrical interconnect is coupled to an integrated circuit (48).

With regard to claim 6, 23, Beroz et al(US 6,361,959) disclose that the length of the uplift portion is less than 5mm (col. 8, lines 10-13)

With regard to claim 7, Beroz et al(US 6,361,959) disclose that the release portion further comprises an unlifted portion (Fig. 10).

With regard to claims 8, 13, 14, 18 Beroz et al(US 6,361,959) disclose (Fig. 7) a photoresist and plating procedure

With regard to claims 9-11, 19, 20,21, 25 Beroz et al(US 6,361,959) disclose (Fig. 5a)that the release portion includes an aperture, the largest dimension of said aperture exceeding half the median width of the release portion.

With regard to claim 16, Beroz et al(US 6,361,959) disclose that the uplift portion (Fig. 23) includes no curves(while the release portion includes in plane curved section (738)).

Claims 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DiStefano et al (US 5,859,472)

With regard to claim 26, DiStefano et al (US 5,859,472) disclose (Fig. 5-7) an electrical interconnect comprising:

Art Unit: 2833

an anchor portion (35); and,

a spring (15) coupled to the anchor portion, the spring including an aperture in the spring, the entire perimeter of the aperture bounded by spring material. the largest dimension of the aperture exceeding 50% of the width of the spring.

With regard to claim 27, DiStefano et al (US 5,859,472) disclose that the width of the aperture is at least 0.05 micrometer

With regard to claim 28, DiStefano et al (US 5,859,472) disclose that the width of the aperture exceeds the average width of the spring.

With regard to claim 29, DiStefano et al (US 5,859,472) disclose that :

a first flexible supports on a first side of the aperture, the first flexible support having a width less than 40% of the average width of the spring , and,

a second flexible support on a second side of the aperture, the second flexible support having a width less than 40% of the average width of the spring.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beroz et al in view of Grube et al.

Beroz et al explicitly do not disclose that the release portion being formed from one of molybdenum, tungsten, chromium, zirconium or nickel, or their alloys .

Grube et al (US 6,307,161) disclose (col. 2 ,lines 57-61) disclose forming the spring contact using a nickel.

Art Unit: 2833


Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the spring contact using a nickel, as taught by Grube et al , to achieve desired mechanical characteristics of the resilient contact.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander D. Gilman whose telephone number is 571 272-2004. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:30 a.m. - 8:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula A. Bradley can be reached on 571 272-2800 ext. 33. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

05/09/2005


ALEXANDER GILMAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER